

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,766	08/22/2003	Wanthida Kentner		8051
7590 02/13/2007 Robert S Kentner P O Box 203			EXAMINER	
			BALDWIN, GORDON	
Lafayette, IN 47902			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			<i>\</i>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/645,766	KENTNER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gordon R. Baldwin	1775				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO   - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Ju</u>	<u>ne 2006</u> .	•				
,—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
(	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	on of Claims						
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
,	Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.						
—	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>2</u> are subject to restriction and/or elec	tion requirement					
。 () () ()	Claim(s) Z are subject to restriction and/or elec-	non requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
* 5	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment	· ·						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1775

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Young (Pat. No. 4,708,892).

Consider claim 1, Young teaches an artificial flower (10) that contains a plurality of flexible frames (12) formed from flexible light weight strand like wires bent over to make loops (14). A plurality of elastic knitted fabric material pieces (16) are each formed from texturized synthetic yarns tautly stretched over each of the loops (14) to cover the loops thus making a plurality of petals in a cluster. (Col. 1, lines 55-64) The fabric used by Young is fabricated out a nylon, which is considered to be a synthetic fabric. (Col. 2 lines 3-5)

As for the transparent or multi-colored combinations of the fabric, according to In re Seid, 161 F. 2d 229, 73 USPQ 431 (CCPA 1947), the court found that matters relating to ornamentation only which have no mechanical function cannot be relied upon to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. The use of a variety of colors or a transparent versions of a synthetic fabric is not considered to be given a mechanical function in the claims of the applicant and is considered to be only ornamental purposes and is therefore not considered to be patentably distinguishable.

Art Unit: 1775

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bouillot (Pat. No. 3,822,171)

Consider claim 1, Bouillot teaches an artificial flower petal with a deformable support which is easily deformable yet is strong enough to maintain the deformed shape. (Col. 2 lines 41-45) The support material may be a thin wire of any suitable ductile material such as annealed iron and may be coated or uncoated with a protective varnish. (Col. 2 lines45-48) The covering one the wire or strand element preferably is similar to the final color of the covering material. (Col. 2 lines 48-50) The covering material (4) may be any suitable stretchable material, i.e. a woven or knit synthetic material which is sufficiently extensible or elastic so that it conformed to the shape of the loop (2). Although the preferred stretchable materials are circular knits of various synthetic yarns which have been texturized by false twisting and covering material (4), which is sufficiently elastic or stretchable so that it conforms to the dimensions of the support loop may be utilized. (Col. 2 lines 50-60) Additionally, Bouillot teaches that the covering material (4) is stretched over a wire loop (2) is firmly held in place by a binding material (5) which may be any adhesive material. (Col. 2 lines 60-65)

As for the transparent or multi-colored combinations of the fabric, according to In re Seid, 161 F. 2d 229, 73 USPQ 431 (CCPA 1947), the court found that matters relating to ornamentation only which have no mechanical function cannot be relied upon to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. The use of a variety of colors or a transparent versions of a synthetic fabric is not considered to be given a

Art Unit: 1775

mechanical function in the claims of the applicant and is considered to be only ornamental purposes and is therefore not considered to be patentably distinguishable.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/30/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant is making the argument that both references used to reject the application only teach a two-dimensional representation of a flower or petal which the applicant's teach a three dimensional representation of a flower or petal. While this argument has been considered, since both Young (U.S. Pat. No. 4,708,892) and Bouillot (U.S. pat. No. 3,822,171) teach the making of an article that is to be used by florist or anyone desiring to make an artificial flower or petal. With this use being described in abstracts of both references, they are also considered to be three-dimensional items, since a two-dimensional item is flat with no depth, while the references describe folds and curved structures with depth as well as shading in figures 3 and 4, which are indicative of a three-dimensional form. Therefore, both of the references cited in the non-final action are considered to teach a three-dimensional form.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 1775

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gordon R. Baldwin whose telephone number is (571)272-5166. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:45-5:15.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on 571-272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**GRB** 

OHN J. ZIMMERMAN RIMARY EXAMINER